

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/560,212	12/09/2005	Rudolfus Antonious Van Benthem	21580USWO (C038435/019415	2957	
83522 Bryan Cave Ll	7590 04/06/200	9	EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue	of the Americas		FREEMAN, JOHN D		
New York, NY	7 10104		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/06/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/560,212	VAN BENTHEM, RUDOLFUS ANTONIOUS					
•	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John Freeman	1794					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>John Freeman</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Eileen Ebel</u> . (4)							
Date of Interview: <u>02 April 2009</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☐ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed:							
Identification of prior art discussed:							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Ebel inquired whether an amendment that incorporates the limitations of claim 3 into claim 1 would require a new search and consideration. The examiner noted claim 2 would need to be changed to agree with such an amendment, and claim 4 would require a new search and consideration because it was optionally dependent on claims 1-3.							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/J. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794	/Callie E. Shosho/	-14704					
Extrinio, at out 1709	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 1794					